

Mailbox file 189-1898.

Lines 1-5—

" Trial of Director Lynd Hunsan
of the I.S.R., by a Court-Martial

Index

Wang

7/8.

Counter marked
B. H. H. H. H. H.

File No 17 of 1899-1900

Court-martials
in I. S. Regiment

SECTION	57
FILE No.	189-99
SERIAL No.	

C.O. No 228 of the 7 August 1899
forwarding for information
letter No 65 of the 5 August 1899
from the Regimental Imperial
Service Regiment applying
for the trial by Court-martial
of a N. C. O. placed under
arrest for striking
subordinate.

Submitted

Forwarded to the Inspecting Officer
Hyderabad and Mysore Imperial
Service Cavalry for forwarding of opinion
of opinion with the Commanding Officer
in trial by Court-martial with the
named — Re 7/8/99 —

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTING OFFICER,
HYDERABAD & MYSORE I. S. CAVALRY

No. 193
878788

No. 328.

8th August 1899

From the results of the inquiry made by the C.O.,
as reported, the Defenses should certainly
be tried by C.M. on 3 charges

- ① Striking subordinate 2-(21).
- ② Conduct prejudicial to good order & military
discipline 2-(45).

in making a false accusation against the C.O.

③ ~~Conduct~~ Conduct pursued in regard to
a military discipline
in borrowing money to a subordinate

The answer does not appear to me to blame
if he merely retaliates, when attacked by the
Jafar. But to make quite sure that
there has been no mistake, he should I
think be tried for

A.

① Violence to superiors 2-2

~~② Conduct pursued in regard to
military discipline 2-2
in borrowing money from a superior.~~

As at the trial, it appears from the evidence
that he acted only as I have noted at
A above, he ought to be acquitted of
the charge of violence, a set-off - He
could then be told off at once, summarily,
by the C.O., for "borrowing money from a
superior" & given a light sentence, which
will be covered by the time he has been
in confinement awaiting trial, such as a few
days. C.B.

D.B. I think
the enquiry has
taken an
unnecessary
time from
27th July to
5th August.

C. H. D. Esq. Captain.
Inspecting Officer.
Hyderabad & Mysore P. S. Cavalry.

C. C. 5A]

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,
MYSORE STATE TROOPS.

Warrant No 185

7/8/95

189 *9*

SECTION <u><i>Enle</i></u>
FILE No. <u><i>189-55</i></u>
SER'AL No. <u><i>1</i></u>

From

THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,
MYSORE STATE TROOPS.

To

*The Secretary
to the Government of
Mysore Military Dept*

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

*7th } August
7th }*

228

one

to be obligingly returned

*Has the honour to
forward herewith
a report from the
Regimental Dar, Imperial
Service Regiment
applying for the
trial by Court-Martial
of a non-commissioned
officer placed under
arrest for striking a
subordinate.*

Yours faithfully,

*St. Colonel
Chief Commandant
Mysore State Troops*

The real case is as follows:-

On the afternoon of the 20th July 1899. The Sowar had gone to the City Bazaar to buy some necessities by the permission of his Troop and Squadron Commanders.

He returned at about 5.30. P.m. or so, and was informed by another Comrade Sowar that he was ordered for the Palace duty. On hearing the order he put on his breeches and went towards the barracks to fetch his books etc. On his way the Duffadar met him and demanded 4 annas that he had to owe him. The Sowar replied that he had no time to lose and would pay it after his return from duty. The Duffadar urged him to pay the money on the spot and also made use of obscene words.

In reply the Sowar said that it did not become on his position as Duffadar to ill use him. if he were to retaliate the same words what would be the result. Thereupon the Duffadar got provoked and kicked the Sowar with his boots on. The Sowar caught hold of his thigh, he was about to lose his balance. Meanwhile

5
meanwhile some passers by come between and set them free.

Undoubtedly the Lowar has also beat the Suppadas after receiving kicks from him.

I have therefore placed no 280 Suppadas Saiyid Miran Hussain of C. Squadron under arrest, this day, for striking Subordinate, and have also retained no 262, Lowar Buddhan Maigay of C. Squadron in the Quarter guard. And request to issue orders to try both of them by the Regimental Court-martial.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedt. Servant.

D) Saiyid Appiz

Commandant.
Imperial Service
Regiment.

No. 257

1878/88

*Urgent*OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,
MYSORE STATE TROOPS,The 18th August 1899

No. 325

Enc.

2 Proceedings of Court Martial

SECTION

FILE No.

From

THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,
MYSORE STATE TROOPS.

To

The Military Assistant
to the Government of Mysore.

SIR,

Adverting to Proceedings of Government
Military No $\frac{270}{18}$ dated 10th August 1899, I
have the honor to forward herewith the
Proceedings of the Courts-Martial held in
the Imperial Service Regiment for the trial
of No 280 Duffdar Syed Miran Hussain and
No 262 Sowar Basham Mirza of C Squadron.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

No 304 dated 19th 8-99.

Forwarded to the
Inspecting Officer
Hyderabad & Mysore
Imperial Service
for favor of remarks

*[Signature]*A. P. Secretary
Mily Dept*[Signature]*

LT. COLONE

Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troop

Milly. 29]

189

From

THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,
MYSORE STATE TROOPS.

To

Dated

Despatched

General No. _____
No. _____

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT

20341

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTING OFFICER.

To the Military Secy.
to the Govt of Mysore.

SECTION	19 th August 1898
FILE No.	188-88
SERIAL No.	9

The proceedings of the Court-martial on Dafadar Saigji Dima Hussain should in my opinion be confirmed, except that as no evidence was produced in support of the 3rd charge, he should not have been guilty of it.

The Court should be directed to alter that finding to one of "not guilty"

The sentence of "reduction to the rank" on being found guilty of the first 2 charges seems to me correct & should be confirmed.

I am still of opinion that as no evidence was produced at the trial of Sowar Budohan Daimar to prove that he did more than defend himself when attacked by the Dafadar. The court should be directed to reconsider their finding & sentence. He should in my opinion be acquitted of the charge.

J. H. Tasker.

Captain.
Inspecting Officer.
Hyderabad & Mysore I. S. Cavalry.

No. 315
F. 17, 1899-1900.

10
Military Assistant's Office.

Dated Bangalore 23rd August 1899.

SECTION	<u>2nd &</u>
FILE No.	<u>183-58</u>
SERIAL No.	<u>5</u>

To

The Chief Commandant Mysore State Troops
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor by direction to inform you that Government approves of the finding and sentences submitted with your letter of the 18th August 1899. But in view of the extreme provocation under which the Sowar Buddan Miya acted reduce the sentence of imprisonment to 15 days in lieu of the 30 days awarded.

I have the honor &c.

(Sd) R.G. Jones Major,

Military Assistant.

From

*The Military Assistant to the
Government of Mysore .*

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.